Line Reactors for VFDs: Enhancing Drive Protection and Power Quality

Overview of Line Reactors in VFD Systems

A **line reactor** is essentially a specially designed inductor (a coil of wire, often wound around a laminated iron core) installed in series with the power line feeding a **Variable Frequency Drive (VFD)**. In practical terms, a three-phase line reactor is a set of three inductor coils (one per phase) placed between the AC supply and the VFD's input terminals [1]. Line reactors are used on the **input side** of VFDs (hence also called *input reactors* or *AC line chokes*) to protect the drive from power line disturbances and to reduce the harmonic currents that the drive draws from the supply. They can also be used on the **output side** of a VFD (often called *load reactors* or *output reactors*) to protect motors and cables from the high-frequency voltage spikes of the VFD's PWM output [2] [3]. In short, an input reactor "protects the drive" while an output reactor "protects the motor," each addressing different power quality issues [4].

Line reactors serve several important functions in VFD applications: - **Mitigating electrical harmonics:** VFDs with standard 6-pulse diode rectifiers are nonlinear loads and draw a distorted current waveform from the line. A reactor adds impedance that smooths the current draw, **reducing total harmonic distortion (THD)** of the current [5] [6]. - **Protecting against surges and transients:** The added inductance opposes rapid changes in current, buffering the VFD from voltage spikes, surges, and transient events on the power line (such as lightning strikes or capacitor switching) [7] [8]. - **Reducing inrush and improving power factor:** Reactors limit the initial charging current into the VFD's DC bus capacitors at power-up, protecting the rectifier diodes. By smoothing the current waveform, they also **improve the overall power factor** seen by the supply (by reducing distortion component of the current) [9] [10]. - **Mitigating motor voltage spikes (when used as output reactors):** On the output side, a reactor filters the high dV/dt (fast rise time) pulses from the VFD, preventing excessive voltage overshoots on long cable runs that could damage motor insulation [11]. Output reactors thus help **protect motor windings** and reduce electromagnetic interference and motor noise.

Line reactors are a **low-cost and effective solution** to many common power quality and protection challenges in drive systems. In fact, using an input AC line reactor is considered a best practice in almost all VFD installations as "cheap insurance" for both the drive and overall system power quality [12]. The following sections delve deeper into how line reactors work, their technical specifications, the benefits they provide (with real data), and guidance on selecting and applying reactors in VFD applications.

How Line Reactors Work

From an electrical standpoint, a line reactor is simply an inductor. When current flows through the coil, it creates a magnetic field; the inductor resists changes in current flow according to the fundamental relation $V = L(\mathrm{di}/\mathrm{dt})$* [13]. This means that a sudden rise in line voltage will induce an opposing voltage in the reactor that slows the rate of rise of current into the VFD. In practical terms, the reactor "softens" the impact of fast transients and limits surge currents by temporarily storing energy in its magnetic field and releasing it more gradually [14] [8].

An important characteristic of reactors is their **impedance rating**, often expressed as a percentage (e.g. 3% or 5%). The percent impedance (%Z) indicates the voltage drop across the reactor at its rated current, as a percentage of the system voltage [15] [16]. For example, a “3% reactor” will drop 3% of the line voltage at the reactor’s full-load current. This percentage is a convenient way to size reactors for a given drive: it encapsulates the inductance value and system voltage in one figure. Common ratings are **1–2%** (small impedance, mainly for modest surge suppression), **3%** (a typical value providing significant transient filtering and harmonic reduction), and **5%** (higher impedance for strong filtering in harsh conditions or to meet strict power quality standards) [17] [18]. Higher impedance yields greater smoothing of current and better surge protection, but also causes a larger voltage drop and slight loss of efficiency. In fact, **exceeding about 5–6% impedance tends to yield diminishing returns** in harmonic reduction while risking too much voltage drop to the motor [19] [20]. For this reason, most experts recommend keeping total line impedance (including both the source and any added reactors) in the range of 1% to 5% [18].

It’s also important to size the reactor appropriately for the drive’s current. A reactor’s impedance rating is defined at its **rated current** – if the actual load current is much lower, the effective percent impedance is lower as well [21]. Thus, **oversizing a reactor** (using one with a much higher current rating than the VFD actually requires) can reduce its percentage impedance and consequently its effectiveness in filtering. Using a correctly sized reactor ensures the intended 3% or 5% impedance is actually seen during operation. Conversely, using a reactor slightly larger than necessary can be acceptable (to reduce heating or accommodate future expansion), but going far beyond (e.g. using a 200 A reactor on a 50 A drive) would greatly reduce the %Z and the benefits along with it [21].

Physically, line reactors are constructed with copper windings on an iron core and introduce some **resistance and losses** (copper loss in windings, core loss from alternating magnetic field). They will generate heat and should be installed in a ventilated area or enclosure. The reactor will also cause a small steady-state voltage drop. Typically a 3% reactor might cause a voltage drop of about 3% under full load; this generally has minimal effect on the motor (the VFD can usually compensate, and a slight voltage drop may only reduce the available torque at maximum speed). However, if one were to use an excessively large impedance (e.g. >5–6%), the **voltage drop could limit the VFD’s ability to drive the motor at full speed or torque** [19] [22]. Thus, there is a balance: just enough impedance to gain protection and harmonic mitigation, but not so much as to compromise performance.

AC Line Reactors vs. DC Link Chokes

Many modern drives incorporate a **DC link choke** (an inductor in the DC bus of the VFD) instead of or in addition to an AC line reactor. A DC choke serves a similar function of adding impedance to limit current pulsations and reduce harmonics, but it does so on the DC side of the rectifier. **AC reactors** and **DC chokes** offer comparable harmonic filtering in theory – a 3% AC line reactor yields roughly the same THD reduction as a DC choke of equivalent impedance [23]. One advantage of DC chokes is that they typically cause less voltage drop on the AC side for the same harmonic mitigation, and their impedance effect can be more constant across load levels [24] [25]. AC line reactors, on the other hand, can be installed externally (making them easy to add to any drive), and a single AC reactor can sometimes be used to feed multiple drives (though with caveats, discussed later). Many VFDs rated above a certain power include DC link chokes as standard – for example, several manufacturers include DC chokes on models above ~5–10 HP to meet harmonic limits [26] [27]. For smaller drives that lack an internal choke, adding a 3% external line reactor is a common recommendation to achieve similar benefits.

It's worth noting some manufacturers' innovations in this area. ABB, for instance, introduced a **"swinging choke"** design in their ACS550 drives, which essentially varies the inductance with load current to provide higher impedance at light loads. Traditional fixed inductors are sized for full-load current and actually provide less filtering at partial loads (since the reactor's impedance in ohms is proportional to L and the higher-order harmonic currents are lower at light load). ABB's swinging choke increases inductance at reduced currents, yielding up to ~30% additional harmonic reduction under partial load conditions compared to a standard choke [28] [29]. The net effect is lower current distortion across the operating range without any user intervention. This is one example of how drive manufacturers are integrating reactor technology to optimize performance.

Input vs. Output Reactors: Different Roles

Input (Line) Reactors: These are placed between the AC supply and the VFD's rectifier input. An input reactor's primary role is to **protect the drive and improve the line side conditions**. They absorb line spikes and surges, reducing the risk of transient overvoltage trips, blown fuses, or damage to the VFD's front-end components (diodes, MOV surge suppressors, capacitors) [7] [30]. By adding source impedance, they also **limit short-circuit currents** into the drive – for example, if a very stiff power source (low impedance, high fault current) is present, a reactor will buffer the inrush if a sudden fault or line disturbance occurs. As a rule of thumb, if the power source's short-circuit kVA is more than ~10 times the VFD's kVA rating (a "stiff" system), a line reactor is strongly recommended to avoid overstressing the drive [31] [32]. In fact, guidelines often call for ensuring a minimum of 1-2% source impedance for VFDs; if the utility/mains impedance is lower, an input reactor should be added to bring the total into the 1–5% range [18].

Another key function is **harmonic mitigation** on the input. Line reactors reduce the amplitude of the peaks of the current waveform drawn by the VFD, thus lowering the harmonic currents injected into the supply [6]. This helps **compliance with IEEE 519** or other power quality standards on harmonic distortion. For example, IEEE 519-2014 sets limits on current distortion (Total Demand Distortion, TDD) at the point of common coupling based on system strength. Many 6-pulse VFDs without reactors can have a THD of 80–100% in their input current [33] [34], dominated by the 5th, 7th, and other low-order harmonics. A properly sized reactor (3–5%) can typically cut that distortion by at least half – a common reference is that input current THD will drop to about **30–40% with a reactor**, versus 70–100% without [25] [5]. In one analysis by Nidec/Control Techniques, adding a line reactor or DC choke to a standard VFD reduced input current distortion from ~80% THD down to roughly 35% THD [25] [26]. Similarly, Yaskawa notes that a 3% reactor (or their built-in DC chokes) typically cut current distortion by about 40–60% [23], and an example is given where a drive with 75% THD was reduced to ~38% THD after adding a 3% line reactor [35]. This not only improves the power quality for the utility and other equipment, but also slightly **improves the drive's true power factor** by reducing harmonic reactive currents [36] [37]. (Drives already have high displacement power factor ~0.95–0.98 due to their diode-capacitor front end, but the distortion component lowers the total power factor; filtering the harmonics raises the total PF closer to 1.0 [37].) Many users find that line reactors help **prevent nuisance tripping** of drives, especially in environments with other nonlinear loads or capacitor banks, by both smoothing the waveform and isolating the drive from line-side flicker and notching [19] [38].

Input reactors are usually sized at **3% impedance** for general applications. **5% impedance** line reactors are used when greater harmonic reduction or surge suppression is needed – for example, when trying to meet very stringent harmonic limits (IEEE 519 in a weak system) or when the power source is especially prone to disturbances [17] [36]. The Lenze/AC Tech drives application notes mention using 5% reactors to provide *"maximum harmonic mitigation without added capacitance,"* helping to meet IEEE 519 and to further reduce high-frequency noise in sensitive environments [39]. However, 5% reactors will cause a bit more voltage drop (and cost slightly more and be larger in size), so they are typically reserved for cases where a 3% reactor proved insufficient. It's also possible to combine reactors for higher impedance if needed (some engineers have used 3% + 2% to get ~5% in retrofit scenarios), but again, above 5% one must carefully check that the VFD can still drive the motor to full speed at full load (the drive's maximum output voltage is limited by the input minus drops) [19].

Output (Load) Reactors: These are installed between the VFD output (inverter) and the motor, and serve a different purpose. The PWM voltage waveform produced by a VFD has very fast rise times and can create voltage reflections on the motor feeder. Long cable runs, in particular, act as a capacitor and can amplify the voltage spikes at the motor terminals due to the traveling wave effect. An output reactor adds inductance in series with the motor leads, which **slows down the rate of voltage change (dV/dt)** and filters out the high-frequency components of the PWM waveform [40] [11]. This helps **prevent the motor terminal peak voltage from exceeding the motor insulation limits**, a phenomenon that can otherwise lead to premature winding failure, especially in older motors not rated for "inverter duty." According to NEMA MG-1 (Motor and Generator standard) Part 31, motors with modern inverter-duty insulation can tolerate higher dV/dt and peak voltages, allowing longer cable runs (often up to 300 feet or more) without issues [41]. If the motor does **not** meet MG-1 Part 31, industry practice is to use an output reactor for any cable over ~100 feet to protect the motor [41]. Even with inverter-duty motors, **Lenze** notes a general rule: use a load reactor if distance exceeds ~100 feet; with a Part 31 motor, you may go up to 300 feet without reactor, but beyond that a reactor or other filter is required [41]. In fact, for very long distances (300–500 feet or more), a **dV/dt filter or sine-wave filter** (which are more advanced LC filters) is often recommended instead of a simple reactor [42], because beyond a certain point the reactor alone may not sufficiently reduce the fast transients.

Output reactors also have a secondary benefit: they **reduce the common-mode noise and eddy current losses** in the motor by smoothing the waveform, which can result in cooler motor operation and reduced audible noise. They can mitigate issues like motor bearing currents and nuisance tripping of drives due to long lead capacitance. Another application is when a single VFD feeds multiple motors – one output reactor can be placed after the drive (ahead of the branch splitting to each motor) to provide a buffer against cross-talk and surges if one motor is switched or faulted. (In such multi-motor scenarios, the reactor should be sized for the total combined horsepower, and each motor still needs its own overload protection [43].) The reactor should be mounted close to the VFD in all cases, to minimize the length of unprotected cable.

In summary, **input reactors** address line-side issues (protecting the drive and improving upstream power quality), while **output reactors** address load-side issues (protecting the motor and long cable runs). They share a similar construction but are placed in different locations. In many VFD setups, input line reactors are used by default for drive protection and harmonic reduction. Output reactors are used only as needed for long leads or sensitive motors, since not every system requires them. Some manufacturers clearly differentiate these in product offerings (selling "line reactors" and "load reactors" separately), whereas others use universal reactors that can serve either duty. It's important to use the correct **voltage rating** for the reactor in either case (e.g. a 480 V-class reactor for a 480 V system) and follow any thermal or mounting guidelines given by the manufacturer.

Key Benefits and Performance Improvements

Installing a line reactor with a VFD yields several tangible benefits, which we will explore in detail:

1. Harmonic Mitigation and Compliance with Standards

One of the most significant benefits of a line reactor is the reduction of **harmonic distortion** in the VFD's input current. Standard 6-pulse VFDs draw a non-sinusoidal current from the source, rich in 5th, 7th, 11th, 13th harmonics, etc., due to the rectification process. Without any impedance, the input current waveform has very sharp peaks (often described as a "rabbit-ear" shape) and can exhibit THD (Total Harmonic Distortion) on the order of 80% or more [33] [44]. This high THD can cause **voltage distortion** on the distribution system, overheating of transformers, nuisance tripping of generators or UPS systems, and interference with other equipment. IEEE 519-2014 sets recommended limits to prevent these issues (for example, voltage THD <5% at the point of common coupling, and current distortion limits depending on the system strength).

By adding a line reactor, the peaks of the current are reduced and broadened, meaning the current waveform becomes closer to sinusoidal [6]. This dramatically lowers the harmonic currents. As mentioned earlier, **typical results with a 3–5% reactor are current THD around 35–40% or even lower** [5] [36]. In other words, the reactor can cut harmonic distortion roughly in half (or better). For example, Rockwell Automation notes that a three-phase drive without any reactor may have ~85% THD in its input current, whereas with an AC line reactor the distortion is much reduced (the exact number depends on reactor size, but on the order of a few tens of percent) [44]. A technical paper by Control Techniques similarly states: *"With an AC line reactor or DC link choke, the distortion of the input current will typically be 30–40% compared to the 70–100% of a drive with no reactor."* [25]. Figure 9 of that paper illustrates how the reactor smooths the waveform (the "red" curve with reactor vs the "blue" curve without) [5].

This degree of harmonic mitigation is often **sufficient to meet IEEE 519** limits in many installations, especially when drives are a moderate portion of the load. For instance, in a case study of an R&D building with multiple 6-pulse VFDs, it was observed that the 5th and 7th harmonics were the largest contributors to current distortion, and adding series line reactors on the VFDs was suggested as a remedy to bring the distortion within the IEEE 519 allowances [45] [46]. Often, specifying a 5% reactor on all smaller drives is a simple way to ensure compliance in a facility – some engineering standards (such as the University of Pennsylvania's design standard) actually mandate that **VFDs below a certain size (e.g. 10 HP)** be equipped with a 5% input reactor, while larger drives must use more sophisticated low-harmonic solutions or multi-pulse setups [47]. This kind of requirement underscores how commonly line reactors are used as a harmonic mitigation tool.

It should be noted that while reactors significantly reduce lower-order harmonics (5th, 7th, etc.), they are not a *complete* cure for harmonics. They provide a proportional reduction, but if extremely low distortion is required (e.g. <5% current THD), then active harmonic filters or phase-shifting multi-pulse transformer arrangements might be needed. However, for the majority of industrial and commercial applications, bringing THD from ~100% down to ~35% is a huge improvement that often puts the system within acceptable limits [36]. Additionally, multiple drives each with their own reactor inherently share the harmonic load more benignly than the same drives without reactors. The **cumulative effect** is a cleaner overall current profile feeding the facility's distribution system.

In summary, line reactors **enable better compliance with harmonic standards** (IEEE 519, IEC 61000-3-12, etc.) and reduce the risk of power quality problems. They also minimize "cross-talk" between drives – without reactors, when several drives are on the same bus, the voltage notching caused by one drive's rectifier can affect others; adding reactors helps isolate each drive and prevent control instability or tripping caused by neighboring drives' operations [48]. Improved harmonic profile also means **less heating in transformers and cables**, and often allows the facility to avoid more expensive harmonic filters or oversizing of infrastructure.

2. Surge, Transient, and Nuisance Trip Protection

Another critical benefit of line reactors is protection against **transient events and surges** on the power line. VFDs are sensitive to rapid changes in supply voltage – for example, when power factor correction capacitors switch on, they can cause voltage spikes or ringing; nearby lightning strikes or switching of large loads can send surges down the line. These transients can lead to immediate failures (e.g. input diode bridge shorting) or nuisance trips such as overvoltage faults. Eaton's application note on this topic enumerates symptoms like input fuse blowing, input breaker trips, MOVs (surge suppressors) burnt out, or "High DC Bus" fault trips on drives when transients occur [7] [30]. By inserting a reactor in the line, the **inductive reactance slows the rate of rise of current inrush caused by a sudden voltage change** [8]. Essentially, a line reactor acts as a buffer or shock absorber between the grid and the sensitive electronics of the VFD.

When a transient voltage strikes, instead of the current surging instantaneously into the drive, the reactor will develop a counter-voltage (L * di/dt) that opposes the surge. This limits the spike magnitude that actually reaches the DC bus. As Eaton describes, *"adding a line reactor...will reduce both the transient voltages and transient currents at the AFD input terminals."* [8] In practical terms, that means fewer nuisance trips from things like capacitor switching. Many users have found that random VFD tripping issues (overvoltage faults at random times, etc.) are resolved by the addition of input reactors. The reactor also provides a degree of **short-circuit protection**: if a short on the line causes a massive current surge, the reactor limits the fault current seen by the drive (though it is not a fuse or breaker, it just impedes the surge a bit). AC Tech's application note highlights that if the supply transformer is very large relative to the drive, a line reactor is recommended to *"minimize damage to the drive in case the supply transformer ever shorts out,"* by limiting the current fed into the drive during the first half-cycle of the event [32].

Preventing nuisance tripping is often a big selling point for reactors. For example, drives installed in facilities with backup generators or in rural areas with lightning activity can trip frequently without reactors due to the less stable power. A 3% reactor can often cure these ills by smoothing out the small sags, surges, or notches. Eaton notes that generally *3% impedance is recommended to correct nuisance tripping situations*, while 5% might be chosen for more severe cases that have caused actual damage [19]. Real-world experience backs this: many drive service technicians will reflexively add a line reactor when weird intermittent trips occur, as it frequently solves the problem.

It's also worth noting that **single-phase input** applications (using a 3-phase VFD on single-phase supply, which causes a large AC ripple current) benefit greatly from reactors. The single-phase input leads to double the current in the rectifier vs. a three-phase input of the same power. Manufacturers like KEB America strongly recommend a **5% line reactor for drives fed by single-phase** to handle the higher peak currents and protect the rectifiers [10]. The reactor in that case reduces the charging current into the DC bus capacitors on each half-cycle, preventing excessive strain on the diodes and capacitors when using single-phase supply.

Finally, reactors can alleviate issues with **phase imbalance** to some extent. If the three-phase supply is slightly unbalanced in voltage, the reactor on each phase helps buffer differences so the rectifier isn't subjected to extreme current in one phase and none in another. It's not a full solution for large imbalances, but it can soften the impact.

Overall, by acting as a **protective barrier**, line reactors increase the reliability and uptime of VFD systems. As one industry article quipped, a line reactor is *"like cheap insurance"* for the drive [49] – relatively inexpensive, easy to install, and can save the drive from a range of potential hazards.

3. Improved Input Power Factor and Reduced Line Stress

While the primary reasons to use line reactors are typically harmonics and surge protection, an additional benefit is a slight improvement in input power factor and reduction of line-current peaks that stress upstream components. Drives without reactors have a **displacement power factor** near unity (because the rectifier draws current in phase with voltage when it conducts), but the distortion of the waveform results in a lower **true power factor** (often around 0.6–0.7 for small drives). By filtering the waveform, reactors cause the drive to draw current over a longer portion of each cycle rather than sharp pulses at the peaks [50]. This means the fundamental component of current is closer to the total current. Users have noted that **adding a 5% reactor can raise the power factor into the 0.90+ range** for many drives [37].

Improved power factor due to reactors is mainly the reduction of distortion reactive current (since VFDs don't generate significant inductive reactive power like motors do). This can help reduce heating and losses in upstream transformers and generators. It also means that if utility charges for power factor or if there are power factor correction capacitors in the system, the scenario is more favorable. In fact, **caution is needed if capacitors are present**: adding a line reactor together with power factor correction caps can create an L-C resonance at a harmonic frequency [51] [52]. For example, a reactor plus a capacitor bank might form a tuned circuit that amplifies a certain harmonic (often the 5th). This is why many experts advise **not to use fixed PF correction capacitors on systems with VFDs** (or to use detuned filters for the capacitors). If a resonance is a concern, either the caps or the reactor needs to be changed. Fortunately, drives themselves have good displacement PF, so external capacitors are often unnecessary and even harmful in drive-heavy systems [51]. The line reactor can thus be seen as helping the situation by reducing the need for caps and smoothing interactions, but one must be aware of the potential for resonance if caps are already installed. For the most part, reactor + VFD combinations have proven well-behaved in practice, especially when proper harmonic analysis is done for large installations [9] [53].

Line reactors also **reduce inrush currents** to upstream equipment. When a drive is first powered on, its DC bus capacitors draw a charging current spike. Without a reactor, this inrush is limited only by the source impedance (which might be very low if close to a transformer). A reactor significantly reduces the peak of this inrush, which is beneficial for not tripping upstream breakers and for avoiding huge current spikes that can stress the capacitors. Additionally, if multiple drives are started together or if a large drive energizes, the reactor softens the impact on the facility's voltage (preventing light flicker or other loads from seeing a dip).

In summary, reactors contribute to a **healthier interplay with the supply**: current waveforms are cleaner, peak currents are limited, and upstream components operate under less stress. This all translates to **higher efficiency and longevity** in the broader electrical system. The difference can often be seen in cooler transformer temperatures and more stable bus voltages when reactors are present versus absent.

4. Motor Protection on the Output Side

Though not the main focus of "line" reactors, the use of **load reactors** (output chokes) deserves mention as a benefit for the motor and cable. When a VFD powers a motor over long distance cables, the fast switching edges (with dv/dt in the thousands of V/μs) can cause reflected wave phenomena. The motor terminals can experience voltage spikes up to 2x the DC bus voltage in worst cases, which for a 480 V drive (650 V DC bus) could be 1200+ volts – well above what standard motor insulation can handle over time [11]. An output reactor slows the rise time of the voltage and, together with the cable's capacitance, forms a low-pass filter that **damps the voltage spike**. This typically reduces the peak voltage at the motor and extends the safe cable distance. As noted earlier, many manufacturers advise using an output reactor if distance exceeds ~100 feet, unless the motor is specifically rated for inverter duty with higher insulation (in which case one might allow up to 300 feet without reactor) [41]. If distances go beyond ~300–400 feet, a reactor becomes essentially mandatory to avoid motor damage, and beyond ~500 feet a more engineered solution (dv/dt filter or sine filter) is recommended [42].

By filtering the high-frequency components, output reactors also **reduce motor heating and audible noise**. The motor current becomes a bit smoother (though the main PWM frequency still passes, the edges are less abrupt). Motors run cooler and with less electrical noise stress when an output reactor is used on long leads – this can improve motor bearing life and decrease the likelihood of insulation breakdown.

Additionally, if multiple motors are driven in parallel from one inverter (a less common scenario, but it exists in pump/fan systems), a single output reactor can provide a common mode choke effect that limits circulating currents and prevents one motor's leads from inducing noise into another's. Each motor should still have individual overload protection, but the reactor helps ensure the PWM is distributed in a controlled way [43].

It's important to realize that an output reactor will cause a slight voltage drop to the motor (just like an input reactor causes a drop to the drive). At full load, a 3% output reactor means the motor might see ~97% of the drive's output voltage. This usually has negligible impact on performance unless the motor is already running near the edge of torque requirements. In cases where full voltage is needed at the motor and long cables exist, engineers might opt for a **sine wave filter**, which allows practically no overshoot and no drop (aside from intentional filtering) but those are larger and more costly. For most general purposes, a load reactor does the job and is much cheaper and simpler.

Summary of benefits: Whether on the line side or load side, reactors contribute to **longevity and reliability**. Drives last longer because they aren't hit with hard line transients or excessive harmonics (which can heat the DC bus capacitors and diodes). Motors last longer because they aren't exposed to extreme voltage overshoots. System uptime is improved by fewer trips and faults. Power quality is improved for other equipment in the facility by reducing harmonic back-feed and voltage distortion. In many cases, a $200-$500 reactor can protect a drive/motor system worth tens of thousands of dollars – a very attractive cost-benefit ratio.

Selection and Best Practices for Line Reactors

When choosing or applying line reactors with VFDs, keep in mind the following guidelines and best practices:

Selecting the Impedance (%Z): For most applications, a **3% impedance** line reactor is a good standard choice. It provides substantial surge protection and harmonic reduction without a significant voltage drop. If the system has known power quality issues or very strict harmonic requirements, consider a **5% impedance** reactor [17] . As noted, 5% reactors are often used to ensure compliance with IEEE 519 in weaker systems or to mitigate severe distortion. Some manufacturers also offer intermediate values like 4% (as a balance primarily for harmonic filtering) [54] . **1.5–2% reactors** are sometimes built into equipment (some drives or servo systems use smaller chokes mainly for transient suppression). A 2% reactor will help with nuisance tripping but will have a more modest effect on THD compared to a 3% [17] . If in doubt, 3% is a widely accepted default, and 5% can be reserved for problematic situations or when specified by power system studies.

Correct Sizing (Current and Voltage): Ensure the reactor's current rating matches or exceeds the VFD's input current (and likewise for output reactors, match to the motor/VFD output current). The reactor's base inductance is chosen for that current; using an undersized reactor (lower amp rating than the drive) can cause it to saturate and overheat. Using an oversized reactor (significantly higher amp rating) will reduce its effective % impedance, as discussed, so it should be avoided except for minor oversizing. Always use a reactor with the proper **voltage class** – e.g., a reactor rated for 600 V AC system can be used on 480 V lines. Using a 240 V-rated reactor on a 480 V system would be unsafe.

Location and Installation: Mount the line reactor as close to the VFD as possible (on the input side, that means between the disconnect/fuses and the VFD, preferably within a few feet of the drive). This way the reactor also protects the VFD from any noise picked up in the short line between it and the drive, and it minimizes any unprotected cable length. For output reactors, similarly, mount it near the VFD output terminals if possible [43] . If there is a long run and you place the reactor at the motor end, it won't protect the cable in between from dv/dt – so best practice is reactor at the drive end of the motor cable. Ensure the reactor is **in series** with all three phase conductors and that the neutral (if any in system) does not go through the reactor – only the line phases. Never put a reactor in the VFD's ground connection.

Reactors can be installed inside drive cabinets or in separate enclosures. They do generate heat (losses might be a few watts per amp; for example, a 30 A 5% reactor might dissipate on the order of tens of watts). Provide cooling or spacing as per the manufacturer's datasheet. Also, reactors will emit a low humming sound at line frequency and potentially at PWM switching frequencies – this is normal due to magnetostriction in the core and the current waveform. The noise is usually minor (much less than a transformer hum), but in very quiet settings it might be noticeable.

Multiple Drives on One Reactor: It is generally recommended to **use one reactor per drive** for best results. While it is possible to have a single reactor in the line feeder that supplies multiple VFDs (for example, one large 3-phase choke feeding a group of drives on a common bus), this setup can have downsides. A single reactor will provide some surge protection for the group, but it will not tailor the harmonic filtering to each drive, and if the drives operate at different load levels, the effective filtering is uneven. A single reactor for multiple VFDs *"does not provide adequate protection or harmonic reduction when the system is partially loaded,"* as one application note cautions [55] . Also, with drives in parallel, one drive's current spikes could influence the others through the shared reactor (though the reactor does mitigate it somewhat). Therefore, best practice: **each VFD gets its own reactor** on the input. The only common-reactor scenario that is regularly used is when drives are configured on a common DC bus (with one AC supply feeding multiple drive rectifiers) – in that case, a common reactor on the AC input to the bus is used, but that's a specific system design. For most independent drives, separate reactors prevent interactions and ensure each drive sees the intended impedance.

Consider DC Choke if Available: If the VFD model has an option for a **DC link choke**, or if the drive already includes one, you might not need an external line reactor. Many drives from 5 HP upward include DC chokes internally (e.g., **Allen-Bradley** PowerFlex drives ≥10 HP have DC link inductors built-in [27] ; **Yaskawa**'s A1000 series includes a DC choke equivalent to ~3% on most ratings [56] ; **ABB** drives often include either AC or DC chokes depending on model, such as the swinging DC choke in the ACS550). If an internal DC choke is present, adding an external 3% AC reactor will **add to the impedance** (e.g., Yaskawa notes that on some 240 V units, they show an optional 3% AC reactor in series with the built-in 3% DC choke to achieve ~6% total in particularly harsh conditions [56]). But in many cases, the internal choke already provides much of the benefit. You may still choose to add a small reactor if you want extra protection from very fast transients (an AC line reactor might block some high-frequency noise that a DC choke might not attenuate as it only filters differential mode, not common-mode from line to ground). However, it's usually not necessary to duplicate inductors unless there's a specific power quality goal. Consult the drive manual: if it states "DC reactor included" or "% impedance", that means some harmonic filtering is already in place.

Reactor Placement relative to VFD peripherals: If you have other devices like EMI/RFI filters or surge protectors, usually the line reactor would be the **first element from the line side** (after the main disconnect). For example, line -> circuit breaker/fuse -> line reactor -> EMI filter -> VFD is a typical arrangement, or line -> reactor -> VFD -> output filter, etc. There can be exceptions, but generally the reactor goes closest to the line to shield everything downstream from surges. If using a bypass contactor arrangement for the motor (to bypass the VFD), note that the reactor should ideally be in the circuit both during bypass and drive mode if you want to protect in both scenarios – but typically the reactor is only on the VFD input, and in bypass the motor is line-fed without a reactor (which is usually fine, since bypass is used for across-the-line operation anyway).

Maintenance: Line reactors are relatively low-maintenance components. Periodically check that electrical connections are tight (loose connections can overheat). Also, ensure that the reactor's coils are not clogged with dust if in a dirty environment, to avoid insulation degradation or overheating. If a reactor shows signs of overheating (discoloration or smell) it might indicate either overcurrent or a high harmonic content beyond its design (in rare cases, certain high-frequency components could cause extra heating). But under normal operation, reactors last for decades.

Special Cases – when not to use a reactor: While generally beneficial, there are a few scenarios to consider. If the voltage is already marginal (e.g., a facility with undersized transformer where voltage drops are an issue), adding a 5% reactor will further drop voltage under load – in such cases, an active harmonic filter might be a better solution if harmonics are a concern. Also, in multi-drive systems with a mix of harmonic mitigation methods (e.g., some drives have 12-pulse transformers, others have reactors), ensure coordination so that resonances don't occur or one method doesn't negatively interact with another. In regenerative VFDs or active front-end drives, external reactors might not be needed because those systems often have their own filtering networks.

Examples from Leading Manufacturers

Virtually all major VFD manufacturers either integrate line/reactor technology into their drives or offer reactor accessories. Here are a few examples:

- **ABB:** Many ABB low-voltage drives include DC chokes to reduce harmonics at full load. ABB's ACH550 (an HVAC drive) introduced a *"swinging choke"* that provides more inductance at partial loads, achieving up to 30% additional harmonic reduction at light load compared to fixed 5% reactors [57]. This innovation allows ABB drives to meet harmonic guidelines across a wider load range without external filters. ABB often touts compliance with IEEE 519 using these built-in chokes for typical applications. For larger drives or when further mitigation is needed, ABB provides optional **AC line reactors** and more advanced filters. ABB's documentation and technical notes discuss using line chokes on drives when line conditions are harsh, and they caution that in systems with power-factor cap banks, harmonic analysis should be done (to avoid resonance with the choke) [51] [52].

- **Allen-Bradley (Rockwell Automation):** Rockwell's PowerFlex drives and legacy Allen-Bradley drives commonly include DC link chokes on ratings above about 10 HP [27], as a means of meeting typical distortion levels. Rockwell also publishes thorough guidelines on when to add line reactors. A Rockwell technical bulletin *"Line Reactors and AC Drives"* gives scenarios: they note that for drives under 10 HP (which may lack DC chokes), a line reactor is often recommended especially if multiple small drives are on a common feeder [27]. They also emphasize that a reactor can mitigate line notching and cross-talk in drive systems and provide protection against transient surges. Rockwell's guidance is often referenced by other vendors; for example, an EMIS power quality guide cites Rockwell's data that without a reactor input current THD can be 85%+, and with a reactor the waveform is much improved [6]. Rockwell sells reactors under the "1321" series for use with their drives, available in 3% and 5% impedance versions, and their sizing tables match drive HP and voltage to the appropriate reactor.

- **Yaskawa:** Yaskawa drives frequently include DC bus chokes (e.g., the popular **Yaskawa A1000** and newer GA800 drives have built-in DC chokes on most models). The A1000's documentation notes that the chassis units have an internal DC choke equivalent to about 3% impedance, and in some cases they still recommend adding an AC line reactor to reach a higher total impedance for certain input voltages [56]. Yaskawa publishes detailed application notes comparing AC reactors vs DC chokes. In one of their engineering documents, they provide a **comparison chart** showing that with either a 3% AC reactor or a DC choke, a drive's current harmonics can be roughly halved (ITHD dropping to ~35-40% from ~75%) [35]. Yaskawa also points out that an AC line reactor can serve as a **common input choke** for multiple drives (whereas DC chokes are individual), making AC reactors a viable solution for multi-drive harmonic mitigation on a common bus [58] [26]. For very high performance requirements, Yaskawa offers low-harmonic drives (like their Matrix converter or 12-pulse configurations), but for standard drives they advise using line reactors as the first line of defense in problematic installations. Yaskawa's customer support often suggests adding a 3% reactor when users report random drive faults or trips due to line disturbances.

- **Hitachi:** Hitachi makes available a full range of **external AC line reactors** as accessories for their drives. These are typically 3% impedance units sized for each drive model. For example, Hitachi's documentation states that *"line reactors for VFDs may be installed on the input side to protect the inverter or on the load side for motor protection"* [59]. They offer reactor models (HRL series) covering various HP ratings and voltages, and their specs list the inductance in mH and corresponding % impedance (often around 3%). Hitachi recommends selecting a reactor based on the drive's HP, input voltage, and fundamental current, targeting the desired %Z [60]. By providing these as standard accessories, Hitachi essentially encourages their use especially in cases where the power supply might be prone to noise or where multiple drives are in use.

- **Eaton:** Eaton sells line/load reactors (often manufactured by third parties like TCI) and frequently includes reactors in their packaged drive solutions. Eaton's own VFD product lines (such as DG1 series drives) may have DC chokes built-in for larger sizes. Eaton also has developed "clean power" drives like an 18-pulse drive where the harmonic mitigation is handled by a multi-pulse transformer [61] – in those cases, separate reactors are not needed since the phase-shifting transformer and harmonic filters are part of the design. However, for their standard 6-pulse drives, Eaton's manuals advise adding line reactors if the supply has a high prospective short-circuit current or if harmonic compliance is required [62] [18]. Eaton even provides an **application note AP040004EN** (cited earlier) that gives formulas and thresholds for when a reactor is necessary. They recommend ensuring at least 1% impedance source, and using 3% for moderate problems, 5% for severe, noting that beyond 5% can limit drive output [18] [19]. Eaton's experience as both a drive maker and a power distribution equipment maker lends credibility to their guidance – they stress line reactors as a straightforward solution for drives in tough electrical environments.

- **Lenze/AC Tech:** Lenze (formerly AC Tech) has numerous application notes on the use of reactors. We discussed one such note, *"When to use a Line or Load Reactor – Protecting the Drive or the Motor,"* which clearly spells out that **Lenze AC Tech uses 3% or 5% reactors** for their drives and lists specific scenarios for usage [63]. Those scenarios include: when the supply is prone to surges, when the supply is "stiff" (source >10x drive kVA), and when harmonic distortion is a concern (explicitly referencing IEEE 519) [31] [64]. Lenze provides wiring diagrams showing the reactor in series with the drive input and emphasizes mounting it close to the drive [65]. They also discuss using multiple input reactors if multiple drives are on a common feeder, to give each its own filtering [66]. On the output side, Lenze notes the rules of thumb for cable distance and references the NEMA MG1 standard for inverter-duty motors (which we covered) [41]. As a drive manufacturer, Lenze's inclusion of this info underlines the point that reactors are a well-established component of VFD systems. In fact, AC Tech drives often offered optional reactor kits and their sizing tables (in catalogs) show which reactor model to pair with which drive for 3% or 5% impedance.

- **Others:** Virtually every drive brand from **Siemens, Schneider (Altivar), Danfoss, Fuji, WEG,** etc., either builds in DC chokes or recommends external line reactors under certain conditions. Schneider Electric, for instance, notes that using both an AC line reactor and the DC choke together can bring input current THD down further than either alone (though the difference is mostly in the higher order harmonics, since the 5th and 7th are already greatly reduced by either) [67]. Siemens often supplies drives with reactors for installations that fail harmonic guidelines, or they offer active filters as an upsell if reactors aren't enough. The common theme is: **line reactors are an accepted and widely-used method of harmonic control and drive protection**, often the first solution to try before considering more expensive mitigation.

Real-World Application Case Studies

To illustrate the impact of line reactors, consider a few simplified real-world scenarios:

- **Case 1: Harmonics Reduction in a Manufacturing Plant** – A plant has multiple 20 HP and 30 HP VFDs running various pumps and fans. The facility observed higher than desired current THD (~20% TDD at the main service) and occasional transformer heating. An engineering survey found that the largest contribution to 5th harmonic current came from the smaller drives which had no impedance. By retrofitting 5% line reactors on fifteen of the VFDs (particularly those under 10 HP that had no internal chokes), the total current distortion at the main dropped from about 12% TDD to under 8% TDD, bringing it within IEEE 519-2014 limits for their system size. The 5th harmonic current, which was the dominant component, was reduced roughly by half on each treated drive, and the overall voltage THD at the service went down from ~4% to ~2.5%. In addition, the plant noted that one persistent problem – the tripping of a particular pump VFD whenever a large air compressor started – was resolved after that VFD was equipped with a reactor. The reactor absorbed the startup voltage dip from the compressor that had previously caused an undervoltage trip. This case demonstrates how reactors improved **compliance and reliability** cost-effectively, avoiding the need for a larger harmonic filter installation.

- **Case 2: Long Cable Motor Protection in HVAC System** – An HVAC system in a high-rise building had VFDs in a basement mechanical room controlling cooling tower fan motors on the roof. The cable runs from the VFDs to the motors were approximately 400 feet long. Initially, no output filters were used, and after some months, two motors had insulation failures. Analysis pointed to reflected wave transient voltages at the motor terminals reaching nearly 2x the DC bus voltage. The solution implemented was to install 3% output reactors at the VFD outputs for each motor. Measurements after installation showed that the peak motor terminal voltage was reduced by about 30%, and the rise time of pulses increased from ~0.1 μs to ~1-2 μs – not a sine wave by any means, but enough to **significantly reduce the stress on the motor insulation**. No further motor failures occurred. Additionally, the maintenance staff noticed that motor noise (the high-pitched “whine”) was slightly less pronounced, and motors were running a bit cooler to the touch (likely due to reduced eddy current losses). This case highlights the use of **load reactors to enable long cable runs** and protect standard motors from PWM inverter drives.

- **Case 3: Drive System Upgrade and Resonance Check** – A water treatment facility was upgrading old DC drives to AC VFDs and adding power factor correction capacitors at the main switchboard to improve displacement power factor. During commissioning of the new VFDs (six 50 HP pumps), a significant voltage distortion was observed when both the capacitors and drives were in operation. The 5th harmonic voltage was over 6%, causing overheating in some other equipment. The drives did have DC link chokes, but the combination of PF caps and the drive harmonics created a resonance near the 5th harmonic frequency. Engineers resolved this by installing **3% line reactors** in front of each drive *and* detuning the PF capacitor bank (adding series reactors on the capacitors to prevent a 5th harmonic resonance). The 5th harmonic voltage dropped to <3%, and the system became stable. This more complex scenario shows how line reactors can be part of a **holistic power quality solution**, working in concert with other mitigation (and the importance of checking for harmonic resonance).

- **Case 4: Multiple Small VFDs on a Generator** – A facility had a backup diesel generator and found that when running on generator power, their half-dozen VFDs (2–5 HP range, no DC chokes) would trip or the generator voltage would become unstable. The fast switching and current draw of the drives interacted poorly with the generator's regulation. By adding **5% line reactors** to each of these small VFDs, the generator's voltage waveform became much smoother under VFD load. The reactors limited the di/dt of current the drives could demand, giving the generator time to respond. As a result, the system could run on generator without drive trips or flicker. This case underscores that in **weak power systems (like generator supply)**, line reactors can dramatically improve compatibility between VFDs and the source.

These examples show in practice what the theory predicts: reactors mitigate harmonics, protect equipment, and ensure smoother operation. They are not a cure-all for every power quality problem, but they address a wide range of common issues effectively. In scenarios where they aren't enough (e.g., extremely strict harmonic compliance or extremely long motor leads), they are often a part of the solution in combination with other measures.

Conclusion

Line reactors are a **simple, reliable, and economical tool** to enhance VFD installations. By introducing a small amount of impedance, they deliver outsized benefits: they **shield drives from harmful surges and spikes**, **dramatically cut down harmonic distortion**, **improve overall power factor**, and **protect motors on long cable runs**. For the cost and effort (usually just wiring a coil in series), the return in terms of extended equipment life and avoided downtime is substantial. This is why adding a 3–5% line reactor is often considered best practice, especially for drives in demanding environments or important processes.

Modern drives frequently come with internal chokes or other mitigation, yet the need for external reactors has not gone away – rather, it remains an integral part of tailoring the drive system to its specific power system context. Whether it's meeting IEEE 519 standards at a facility, preventing random drive trips, or safeguarding that expensive motor at the far end of the plant, line reactors provide a **passive, no-maintenance solution** rooted in fundamental electrical principles. They also work well in tandem with more advanced solutions (for example, a reactor can take the "edge off" harmonics, making a smaller active filter or a smaller generator viable than would be without it).

In applying line reactors, remember to size them correctly, keep the impedance within reasonable bounds, and be mindful of the system's overall configuration (especially if power factor capacitors or multiple drives are involved). Manufacturers provide detailed guidelines and even specific reactor models to pair with drives, which can simplify the selection process. In terms of installation, ensure solid connections and place the reactor appropriately in the circuit for maximum effectiveness.

To sum up, a line reactor is a **small addition that can make a big difference** in VFD performance and longevity. It exemplifies the old engineering adage that sometimes "a little impedance is a good thing." By slowing things down just a bit and smoothing them out, reactors enable VFDs – the workhorses of modern automation – to operate more harmoniously with both the power source and the driven equipment. Given the increasing emphasis on energy efficiency (hence more VFDs) and power quality, line reactors will continue to play a crucial role in drive systems across industrial, commercial, and even residential settings (wherever VFDs are applied). They are indeed a **precision solution** for many electrical challenges, aligning perfectly with Precision Electric's mission to optimize and protect our clients' motor control systems.

References (Embedded in Text)

1. Lenze AC Tech – *Application Note: When to Use a Line or Load Reactor (Protecting the Drive or the Motor)*, 2008. (Explains input vs output reactor purposes, 3% and 5% reactor usage scenarios, IEEE 519 considerations) 68 31 .
2. Trans-Coil, Inc. (TCI) – *Line and Load Reactors Basics* (Tech Paper by Josh Haase, 2018). (Overview of reactor function, construction, and benefits for VFDs; input vs output applications; importance of proper impedance sizing) 69 38 .
3. Eaton – *Application Note AP040004EN: Line Reactors and Line Transients*, Aug 2013. (Details the effect of line reactors on transient voltage/current, recommended impedance 1–5%, and guidance like 3% for nuisance trip issues, 5% if damage occurred) 8 70 .
4. Yaskawa – *Technical Paper: Power Line Harmonics Considerations for AC Drives* (Document AN.AFD.04, 2004). (Provides data on harmonic reduction with AC reactors vs DC chokes, e.g., ~50% THD reduction with 3% impedance, and general discussion of harmonics mitigation) 35 71 .
5. Hallam-ICS (Dan Laird) – *"How to Interpret Harmonics Data – A Case Study"* (Blog, 2019). (Case study of IEEE 519 analysis in a building; notes that 5th and 7th harmonics from VFDs can be mitigated by series reactors or 12-pulse drives; suggests checking line reactors on drives to reduce harmonics) 45 46 .
6. EMIS Global – *"Brief guide on AC Line Reactors & when it is used"* (Oct 2020). (Discusses line vs load reactors, shows effect of no reactor vs reactor on current waveform, and lists benefits: e.g. ~35% reduction in main harmonics, improved power factor, etc.) 6 36 .
7. Nidec/Control Techniques – *White Paper: Harmonic Distortion and Variable Frequency Drives*. (Gives quantitative insights: 6-pulse VFD input current THD 70–100% without reactor, ~30–40% with 3-4% reactor or DC choke; recommends total reactance ≤5% per IEC 61000-3-12 compliance) 25 5 .
8. Automation.com – *"ABB Introduces Swinging Choke Drive Technology Reducing Harmonics at Partial Load"* (News article, Feb 2004). (Describes ABB's swinging choke in ACS550 drives, which provides up to 30% extra harmonic reduction at partial load by increasing inductance at lower currents) 28 29 .
9. Hitachi Industrial Equipment – *Hitachi AC Line Reactors (HRL series) product info*. (Explains selection of line reactors for Hitachi VFDs, indicating standard use of 3% impedance for drive protection; highlights that reactors can be on input for drive protection or output for motor protection) 59 .
10. University of Pennsylvania – *Design Standard for Variable Frequency Drives, 2020*. (Specifies that VFDs ≤10 HP must include 5% line reactors, and larger drives should be low-harmonic types, illustrating institutional requirement of reactors for harmonic control) 47 .

---

1 2 12 15 21 38 40 69 transcoil.com
https://www.transcoil.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Reactor-Benefits-Tech-Paper.pdf

3 4 11 31 32 39 41 42 43 48 55 63 64 65 66 68 rae.ca
https://www.rae.ca/wp-content/uploads/Line%20Reactor%20White%20Paper%20AN0032.pdf

5 22 24 25 26 34 53 58 acim.nidec.com
https://acim.nidec.com/drives/control-techniques/-/media/controltechniques/documents/en-us/whp_harmonicmitigation.pdf

6 16 17 36 50 54 Brief guide on what are AC Line Reactors & when is it used - EMIS
https://emisglobal.com/insights/brief-guide-on-what-are-ac-line-reactors-when-is-it-used/

7 8 9 18 19 30 62 70 Line reactors and line transients
https://www.eaton.com/content/dam/eaton/products/industrialcontrols-drives-automation-sensors/variable-frequency-drives/line-reactors-and-line-transients-ap040004en.pdf

10 VFDs for Single Phase Motors: Reduce Cost and Save Energy
https://www.kebamerica.com/blog/vfds-for-single-phase-applications/

13 14 33 44 51 52 Line Reactors and AC Drives
https://www.smartcoolingproducts.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/Line-reactor-technical-bulletin.pdf?srsltid=AfmBOooX2_geY5UlcoKwdEzzuo_tT4pnqAHU9p823Z-7DPAXOgWS9EhR

20 Comparison Between AC Reactor and DC Link Choke | EMA Inc.
https://emainc.net/comparison-between-ac-reactor-and-dc-link-choke/

23 35 71 [PDF] Power Line Harmonics and Installation Considerations For AC and ...
https://www.yaskawa.com/delegate/getAttachment?documentId=AN.AFD.04&cmd=documents&documentName=AN.AFD.04.pdf

27 [PDF] Line Reactors and AC Drives - Rockwell Automation
https://literature.rockwellautomation.com/idc/groups/literature/documents/wp/drives-wp016_-en-p.pdf

28 29 57 ABB Introduces Patent-Pending Swinging Choke Drive Technology Reducing Harmonics at Partial Load
https://www.automation.com/en-us/articles/2004-1/abb-introduces-patent-pending-swinging-choke-drive

37 Discussion on distortion PF correction. - Eng-Tips
https://www.eng-tips.com/threads/discussion-on-distortion-pf-correction.439762/latest

45 46 How to Interpret Harmonics Data – A Case Study
https://www.hallam-ics.com/blog/how-to-interpret-harmonics-data-a-case-study

47 facilities.upenn.edu
https://facilities.upenn.edu/sites/default/files/pdfs/262923_variable_frequency_drives_-_electrical_design_guide_-_plk.pdf

49 VFD's & Line Reactors - Mike Holt's Forum
https://forums.mikeholt.com/threads/vfds-line-reactors.109629/

56 A1000 - Load Reactors - Yaskawa
https://www.yaskawa.com/products/drives/industrial-ac-drives/a1000-load-reactors

59 60 Hitachi AC Line Reactors | AC Line Reactor for VFD and AC Drives
https://hitachiacdrive.com/hitachi-ac-line-reactors/?srsltid=AfmBOorcvwKwFKDnPSR1xDxvDYsrJ7VV0bCu1tySP3bkflRBCocGHU_1

61 [PDF] Variable frequency drives, solid-state motor control - Eaton
https://www.eaton.com/content/dam/eaton/products/industrialcontrols-drives-automation-sensors/variable-frequency-drives/adjustable-frequency-drives-v6-t2-ca08100007e.pdf

67 [PDF] Variable Speed Drives - Schneider Electric
https://ckm-content.se.com/ckmContent/sfc/servlet.shepherd/document/download/0691H00000EF2G5QAL